

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,469	02/28/2002	Fred S. Cannon	823.0115USU	4846
759	90 03/10/2006	EXAMINER		
POWELL, GO	LDSTEIN, FRAZER	HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
Jason A Bernste	in		C	
191 Peachtree St	treet NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
16th Floor		1754		
Atlantic, GA 3	0303-1736	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/085,46	69	CANNON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Stuart Her	ndrickson	1754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu- or Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE INCREMENT IN THE IN	MAILING DATE OF TH is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even imunication. statutory period will apply and will by will, by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this community ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 12 December 26	005		
	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is n			•
'=	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		prosecution as to the meri	ite is
-,-	closed in accordance with the pract			·	-3 · -
	·	- - -	.,,	,,	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are pe	ending in the applicatio	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are re	jected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election re	equirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	ne Evaminer			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		nhiected to by t	he Evaminer	
	Applicant may not request that any obje				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				21/d)
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to				
	-	to by the Examiner. No	ne the attached Of	nce Action of form P10-13	۷.
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority				
	2. Certified copies of the priority		• •		
	3. Copies of the certified copies			eived in this National Stage	Э
	application from the Internation	•	· · · ·		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certif	fied copies not rec	eived.	
				•	
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	
3) 🛛 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape J.S. Patent and T	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)		
7.5. Patent and 1 PTOL-326 (R		Office Action Summar	гу	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 030)12006

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-8, 30, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Murakami et al 6865068.

The reference teaches active carbon, see table 1. The exact distribution and pH are unknown, but some examples (6 and 7) are highly consistent with what is claimed (large mesopore to micropore ratio). Note also the steam activation. Where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct not the examiner to show that the same process of making, see In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not reflect the argued feature of high volume of macropores. It has not been shown that the reference applied fails to meet the features which are claimed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754